IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO : 161 of 2005

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 25/07/2004 in WP NO : 6509 OF 1993 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

V. Venugopal, S/o. VSD Awadhanulu,
Tabacco Board Regional Office, Jangamreddy Gudem, R/o. Jangareddy Gudem, W.G.District.

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. Tabacco Board, rep. by its Secretary, Srinivasa Rao Thotha,

GNT Road, Guntur.
2 Sri Ch. Yesudas, Assist Manager (Accounts) Auction Platform,
Tobacco Board, Jangareddygudem, W.G.District.
.....RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Appellant: MR.B.VEERABHADRA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents.: MR.S.SRINIVASA REDDY

The Court made the following :

JUDGMENT (per TMK, J) :

Aggrieved by the dismissal order dated 15-7-2004 passed by the learned single Judge of this Court in W.P No. 6509 of 1993, the writ petitioner has preferred the present appeal.

The writ petition was filed challenging the promotion of the second respondent as Assistant Manager (Accounts) and seeking a direction from the respondents for the promotion of the petitioner with effect from 1.3.1983. The writ petition was contested by the TOBACCO Board by filing counter affidavit. The learned single Judge, after considering the material on record having found no merit in the writ petition, dismissed the same. Challenging the said order, the present writ appeal is filed.

It has been argued by the learned counsel for the appellant that the learned single Judge failed to consider the case of the petitioner and sought to allow the writ petition.

On the other hand, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents in to to supported the order of the learned single Judge.

Heard both sides.

Perused the material on record. No substantial reasons are forthcoming from the appellant requiring the interference of this Court in the order under challenge. Hence, we do not see any ground raised by the appellant in the grounds of appeal to interfere with the reasoned order passed by the learned single Judge and accordingly, we dismiss the writ appeal.

Writ appeal is dismissed. No order as to costs.

(T. MEENA KUMARI, J)

(S. ANANDA REDDY, J)

Dt, 14-2-2005

Kvrk

To

1. The Secretary, Tobacco Board, GNT Road, Guntur.
2. The Asst. Manager (Accounts), Auction Platform, Tobacco Board, Jangareddygudem, West Godavari District.
3. Two CD copies.